

Angel Carrazco, Jr. Esq. (SBN 230845); angel@carrazcolawapc.com
 Kent M. Henderson, Esq. (SBN 139530); hendolaw@gmail.com
CARRAZCO LAW A.P.C.
 18301 Irvine Boulevard
 Tustin, CA 92780
 Tel: (714) 541-8600
 Fax: (714) 541-8601

Attorneys for minor Plaintiffs J.L. and B.L.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ANTONIO LOPEZ, individually;
 JOHANNA LOPEZ, individually; M.R.,
 by and through his guardian ad litem,
 April Rodriguez, individually and as
 successor in interest to Brandon Lopez;
 B.L. and J.L., by and through their
 guardian ad litem Rachel Perez,
 individually and as successors in interest
 to Brandon Lopez; S.L., by and through
 his guardian ad litem, Rocio Flores,
 individually and as successor in interest
 to Brandon Lopez,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CITY OF ANAHEIM, a municipal
 corporation; CITY OF SANTA ANA;
 DAVID VALENTIN; JORGE
 CISNEROS; PAUL DELGADO;
 BRETT HEITMAN; KENNETH
 WEBER; CAITLIN PANOV; and
 DOES 1-10, inclusive,

CASE NO.: 8:22-cv-01351-JVS-ADS

**PLAINTIFF J.L. AND B.L.'S
 RESPONSE TO CIVIL MINUTES
 DOCUMENT 125 FILED MAY 15,
 2024 RE: WHY PAYMENTS AT
 MAJORITY ARE DIFFERENT**

Honorable James V. Selna

Hon. Mag. Judge Autmn D. Spaeth

**TO THE HONORABLE JAMES V. SELNA, ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR
 ATTORNEYS OF RECORD HEREIN:**

1 **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that Plaintiffs J.L. and B.L., minors by and
2 through their Guardian Ad Litem, Rachel Perez, do hereby provide the information
3 requested by the Court regarding why the monthly payments differ at majority for
4 Minor Plaintiffs J.L. and B.L. In the Civil Minutes [Doc 125 Filed 5-15-2024], the
5 Court wrote, “[t]he Court requests that counsel supplement the application with an
6 explanation why the monthly payments at majority differ: J.L. (\$4,953.42) and B.L.
7 (\$4,626.18.).”

8 Upon receiving these Civil Minutes, Plaintiff’s counsel promptly consulted
9 with the structured settlement broker for these annuities, Ali Mendiola of Ringler &
10 Associates, and inquired as to the Court’s question. With the information she
11 provided, Plaintiff responds as follows:

- 12 1. Plaintiff J.L. (current Age: 13 years old; Date of Birth [12-1-2010]), is
13 younger and has more time until he reaches age 18. Because of this the
14 money placed in the annuity for J.L. has more time to grow and increase and
15 the monthly payment at age 18 for J.L. is higher.
- 16 2. Plaintiff B.L. (current Age: 15 years old; Date of Birth [03-04-2009]) is older
17 and has less time until she reaches age 18. Because of this the money placed
18 in the annuity for B.L. has less time to grow and increase and the monthly
19 payment at age 18 for B.L. is lower.

20
21 Dated: May 15, 2024

22
23 CARRAZCO LAW, A.P.C.

24
25 /S/ Kent M. Henderson

26 KENT M. HENDERSON

27 Attorneys for Plaintiffs J.L., and B.L.
28